

BEGINNING OF LECTURE 8

3.D Invertibility and Isomorphic Vector Spaces

Definition 23. A linear map that is both injective and surjective is called bijjective.

Definition 24. A linear map $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ is invertible if $\exists S \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$ such that $ST = I_V$ and $TS = I_W$. Such a map S is an inverse of T .

Proposition 24. *An invertible linear map has a unique inverse.*

Proof. Let S_1 and S_2 be two inverses of $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Then:

$$S_1 = S_1 I = S_1 (TS_2) = (S_1 T) S_2 = I S_2 = S_2$$

□

Notation: Thus we can denote the inverse of T as $T^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$.

Theorem 8.

$$T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W) \text{ is invertible} \iff T \text{ is bijective}$$

Proof. For the \implies direction: Need to show T is injective and surjective. Suppose:

$$Tv_1 = Tv_2 \Rightarrow T^{-1}Tv_1 = T^{-1}Tv_2 \Rightarrow v_1 = v_2$$

since $T^{-1}T = I$. Thus T is injective.

Now suppose $w \in W$. Then:

$$TT^{-1}w = w \Rightarrow T \underbrace{(T^{-1}w)}_{\in V} = w$$

and so T is surjective.

Now for the \impliedby direction: Need to show T is invertible. To do so we define a map $S \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$ and show that $ST = I$ and $TS = I$.

Define $S : W \rightarrow V$ as:

$$Sw := \text{unique } v \in V \text{ s.t. } Tv = w \text{ (i.e., } Sw = v \iff Tv = w)$$

Note S is well defined only because T is bijective! By construction we have $TS = I$. To show that $ST = I$, let $v \in V$, then:

$$T(STv) = (TS)(Tv) = Tv \Rightarrow ST = I \text{ since } T \text{ is injective}$$

Now we need to show that $S \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$. For additivity let $w_1, w_2 \in W$:

$$\begin{aligned} T(Sw_1 + Sw_2) &= TS w_1 + TS w_2 = w_1 + w_2 \\ &\Rightarrow S(w_1 + w_2) = Sw_1 + Sw_2 \text{ by definition of } S \end{aligned}$$

For homogeneity use a similar argument:

$$T(\lambda Sw) = \lambda T(Sw) = \lambda w \Rightarrow S(\lambda w) = \lambda Sw$$

□

We now want to formalize the notion of when two vector spaces are essentially the same.

Definition 25. Two parts:

- An isomorphism is an invertible linear map (i.e., a bijection)
- V, W are isomorphic if there exists $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ such that T is an isomorphism. We write $V \cong W$.

Theorem 9.

$$V \cong W \iff \dim V = \dim W$$

Proof. For the \implies direction, we know then there is a bijection $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Thus $\text{null } T = \{0\}$ and $\text{range } T = W$, so by Rank-Nullity Theorem:

$$\dim V = \dim \text{null } T + \dim \text{range } T = 0 + \dim W = \dim W$$

For the \impliedby direction, let v_1, \dots, v_n be a basis for V and let w_1, \dots, w_n be a basis for W . Define $T : V \rightarrow W$ as:

$$T(c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n) = c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_n w_n$$

It is easy to see $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, T is injective, T is surjective. Thus T defines an isomorphism. □

Corollary 3. If $\dim V = n$, then $V \cong \mathbb{F}^n$.

Remark: This *proves* that we can think of the coordinates of any $v \in V$ in a basis $\mathcal{B}_V = v_1, \dots, v_n$ as a unique representation in \mathbb{F}^n , with the vector space structure of V carried over to \mathbb{F}^n . Indeed, define the matrix of $v \in V$ with respect to the basis \mathcal{B}_V as the $n \times 1$ matrix:

$$\mathcal{M}(v; \mathcal{B}_V) := \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$v = c_1 v_1 + \cdots + c_n v_n$$

The linear map $\mathcal{M}(\cdot, \mathcal{B}_V) : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ (note $\mathbb{F}^{n,1} \cong \mathbb{F}^n$ trivially) is an isomorphism.

Corollary 4. *If $\dim V = n$ and $\dim W = m$, then $\mathcal{L}(V, W) \cong \mathbb{F}^{m,n}$.*

Proof. This follows easily since we already proved that $\dim \mathcal{L}(V, W) = (\dim V)(\dim W)$. □

Proposition 25. *Let $\mathcal{B}_V = v_1, \dots, v_n$ be a basis of V and let $\mathcal{B}_W = w_1, \dots, w_m$ be a basis of W . Then $\mathcal{M}(\cdot; \mathcal{B}_V, \mathcal{B}_W) : \mathcal{L}(V, W) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{m,n}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proposition 26. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, let $v \in V$, and let \mathcal{B}_V and \mathcal{B}_W be bases of V and W respectively. Then:*

$$\mathcal{M}(Tv; \mathcal{B}_W) = \mathcal{M}(T; \mathcal{B}_V, \mathcal{B}_W) \mathcal{M}(v; \mathcal{B}_V)$$

[See the book for the proofs of the previous two propositions.]

Example: Let $D \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_3(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}))$ be the differentiation operator, defined by $Dp = p'$. Let's compute the matrix $\mathcal{M}(D)$ of D with respect to the standard bases $\mathcal{B}_3 = 1, x, x^2, x^3$ of $\mathcal{P}_3(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{B}_2 = 1, x, x^2$ of $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Since $Dx^n = (x^n)' = nx^{n-1}$ we have:

$$\mathcal{M}(D; \mathcal{B}_3, \mathcal{B}_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

END OF LECTURE 8