

BEGINNING OF LECTURE 26

The null space and range of T are related to the null space and range of T^* through the orthogonal complement, as we now prove.

Proposition 50. *If $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, then:*

1. $\text{null } T^* = (\text{range } T)^\perp$
2. $\text{range } T^* = (\text{null } T)^\perp$
3. $\text{null } T = (\text{range } T^*)^\perp$
4. $\text{range } T = (\text{null } T^*)^\perp$

Proof. We prove #1 first:

$$\begin{aligned} w \in \text{null } T^* &\iff T^*w = 0 \\ &\iff \langle v, T^*w \rangle = 0, \quad \forall v \in V \\ &\iff \langle Tv, w \rangle = 0, \quad \forall v \in V \\ &\iff w \in (\text{range } T)^\perp \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\text{null } T^* = (\text{range } T)^\perp$.

The rest now follow easily. Indeed, taking the orthogonal complement of both sides of #1 gives #4. Replacing T with T^* in #1 gives #3, and in number #4 gives #2. \square

We now relate the adjoint to matrices.

Definition 48. The conjugate transpose of an $m \times n$ matrix $A \in \mathbb{F}^{m,n}$ is the $n \times m$ matrix $A^\dagger \in \mathbb{F}^{n,m}$ defined as:

$$A_{j,k}^\dagger = \overline{A_{k,j}}, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n, \quad k = 1, \dots, m$$

Proposition 51. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, $\mathcal{B}_V = e_1, \dots, e_n$ be an ONB of V , and $\mathcal{B}_W = f_1, \dots, f_m$ be an ONB of W (note: they must be orthonormal!!). Then:*

$$\mathcal{M}(T^*; \mathcal{B}_W, \mathcal{B}_V) = \mathcal{M}(T; \mathcal{B}_V, \mathcal{B}_W)^\dagger$$

Proof. Let $A = \mathcal{M}(T; \mathcal{B}_V, \mathcal{B}_W)$. Recall that $A_{j,k}$ is defined by writing Te_k as a linear combinations of f_1, \dots, f_m :

$$Te_k = \sum_{j=1}^m A_{j,k} f_j = \sum_{j=1}^m \langle Te_k, f_j \rangle_W f_j \implies A_{j,k} = \langle Te_k, f_j \rangle_W$$

where the second equality follows since \mathcal{B}_W is an ONB.

Now let $B = \mathcal{M}(T^*; \mathcal{B}_W, \mathcal{B}_V)$. Then B is defined as:

$$T^* f_k = \sum_{j=1}^n B_{j,k} e_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle T^* f_k, e_j \rangle_V e_j \implies B_{j,k} = \langle T^* f_k, e_j \rangle_V$$

But then:

$$B_{j,k} = \langle T^* f_k, e_j \rangle = \overline{\langle e_j, T^* f_k \rangle} = \overline{\langle Te_j, f_k \rangle} = \overline{A_{k,j}} = A_{j,k}^\dagger$$

□

Now we focus in on operators $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, where V is an inner product space. We shall be particularly interested in the following operators.

Definition 49. An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is self-adjoint if $T = T^*$, i.e.,

$$\langle Tv, w \rangle = \langle v, Tw \rangle, \quad \forall v, w \in V$$

Remark: The previous proposition shows that for a general $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, if \mathcal{B} is an ONB for V , then $\mathcal{M}(T^*; \mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{M}(T; \mathcal{B})^\dagger$. But if T is self-adjoint, then $T = T^*$ and so $\mathcal{M}(T; \mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{M}(T^*; \mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{M}(T; \mathcal{B})^\dagger$, which implies that $\mathcal{M}(T; \mathcal{B})$ is symmetric and real valued.

Proposition 52. *The eigenvalues of self-adjoint operators are real valued (even when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$).*

Proof. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ be self-adjoint, $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ and eigenvalue of T , and $v \in V$ a corresponding nonzero eigenvector so that $Tv = \lambda v$. Then:

$$\lambda \|v\|^2 = \langle \lambda v, v \rangle = \langle Tv, v \rangle = \langle v, Tv \rangle = \langle v, \lambda v \rangle = \bar{\lambda} \|v\|^2 \implies \lambda = \bar{\lambda} \implies \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

□

Proposition 53. *Let V be a complex inner product space and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$.*

If $\langle Tv, v \rangle = 0, \forall v \in V$, then $T = 0$

Proof. Suppose $\langle Tv, v \rangle = 0, \forall v \in V$. Let $u, w \in V$ and consider the clever rewriting of $\langle Tu, w \rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Tu, w \rangle &= \frac{1}{4} \langle T(u+w), \underbrace{u+w}_{v_1} \rangle - \frac{1}{4} \langle T(u-w), \underbrace{u-w}_{v_2} \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \langle T(u+iw), \underbrace{u+iw}_{v_3} \rangle i - \frac{1}{4} \langle T(u-iw), \underbrace{u-iw}_{v_4} \rangle i \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (\langle Tv_1, v_1 \rangle + \langle Tv_2, v_2 \rangle + \langle Tv_3, v_3 \rangle i + \langle Tv_4, v_4 \rangle i) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\langle Tu, w \rangle = 0, \forall u, w \in V$. Taking $w = Tu$, we get $\|Tu\|^2 = 0, \forall u \in V$, which implies that $Tu = 0$ for all $u \in V$, and so $T = 0$. \square

Remark: False if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$. Take $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ and T to be a 90-degree rotation.

Proposition 54. *Suppose V is a complex inner product space and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Then:*

$$T \text{ is self-adjoint} \iff \langle Tv, v \rangle \in \mathbb{R}, \forall v \in V$$

Proof. Let $v \in V$, then:

$$\langle Tv, v \rangle - \overline{\langle Tv, v \rangle} = \langle Tv, v \rangle - \langle v, Tv \rangle = \langle Tv, v \rangle - \langle T^*v, v \rangle = \langle (T - T^*)v, v \rangle \quad (15)$$

If $\langle Tv, v \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$, then by (15):

$$0 = \langle (T - T^*)v, v \rangle \implies T - T^* = 0 \text{ [by previous Proposition]} \implies T = T^*$$

Conversely, if T is self-adjoint then (15) also implies:

$$\langle Tv, v \rangle - \overline{\langle Tv, v \rangle} = 0 \implies \langle Tv, v \rangle = \overline{\langle Tv, v \rangle} \implies \langle Tv, v \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$$

\square

Remark: Also false if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ since $\langle Tv, v \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, including those that are not self-adjoint.

END OF LECTURE 26