

## BEGINNING OF LECTURE 41

Example: Let's do an example to show the problems that can occur with an ill conditioned matrix. Consider the system of equations:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x_1 + x_2 = 2 \\ x_1 + 1.001x_2 = 2 \end{array} \right\} \iff \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1.001 \end{pmatrix}}_A \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}}_x = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}}_b$$

The solution is:

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = A^{-1}b$$

Now let's consider the same system but with a small perturbation

$$\Delta b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0.001 \end{pmatrix}$$

With the perturbation, the system now is:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \tilde{x}_1 + \tilde{x}_2 = 2 \\ \tilde{x}_1 + 1.001\tilde{x}_2 = 2.001 \end{array} \right\} \iff \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1.001 \end{pmatrix}}_A \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 \\ \tilde{x}_2 \end{pmatrix}}_{\tilde{x}} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}}_b + \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0.001 \end{pmatrix}}_{\Delta b}$$

The singular values of  $A$  are approximately  $\sigma_1 \approx 2.0005$  and  $\sigma_2 \approx 0.0005$ . Thus the condition number of  $A$  is approximately (!):

$$\|A\| \|A^{-1}\| = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2} \approx \frac{2.0005}{0.0005} \approx 4000$$

The new solution is easily seen to be:

$$\tilde{x} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 \\ \tilde{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{x=A^{-1}b} + \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{\Delta x=A^{-1}\Delta b}$$

which is completely different than  $x$ . Notice:

$$\text{Ratio of initial data perturbation} = \frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b\|} = \frac{\sqrt{8}}{0.001} \approx 0.00035$$

$$\text{Ratio of solution perturbation} = \frac{\|\Delta x\|}{\|x\|} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \approx 0.7$$

## 8 Bilinear and Quadratic Forms

### Bilinear Forms

**Definition 58.** Let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . The product  $V \times W$  is defined as:

$$V \times W := \{(v, w) : v \in V, w \in W\}$$

**Proposition 65.** Let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then  $V \times W$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  with vector addition and scalar multiplication defined as:

- Vector addition:  $(v_1, w_1) + (v_2, w_2) = (v_1 + v_2, w_1 + w_2)$
- Scalar multiplication:  $\lambda(v, w) = (\lambda v, \lambda w)$

**Definition 59.** Let  $V$  be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . A bilinear form on  $V$  is a function  $L : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  that is linear in both arguments:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\alpha u + \beta v, w) &= \alpha L(u, w) + \beta L(v, w), & \forall u, v, w \in V, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F} \\ L(u, \alpha v + \beta w) &= \alpha L(u, v) + \beta L(u, w), & \forall u, v, w \in V, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F} \end{aligned}$$

Examples:

1. Let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{L}(V, \mathbb{F})$  be linear functionals on  $V$ . Define  $L : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  as:

$$L(u, v) = \varphi_1(u)\varphi_2(v)$$

Then  $L$  is a bilinear form (as you can verify).

2. Let  $V$  be an inner product space over  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then:

$$L(u, v) = \langle Tu, v \rangle$$

is a bilinear form. In fact every bilinear form on a real inner product space is of this form.

**Theorem 38.** Let  $V$  be an inner product space over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $L : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bilinear form on  $V$ . Then there exists a unique  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  such that

$$L(u, v) = \langle Tu, v \rangle$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B} = e_1, \dots, e_n$  be an ONB for  $V$ . Then:

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j e_j \quad \text{and} \quad v = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k e_k$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} L(u, v) &= L\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j e_j, v\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_j L(e_j, v) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_j L\left(e_j, \sum_{k=1}^n b_k e_k\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_j b_k L(e_j, e_k) \end{aligned}$$

Define  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$  as:

$$A_{k,j} = L(e_j, e_k)$$

Since  $\mathcal{M}(\cdot; \mathcal{B}) : \mathcal{L}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$  is an isomorphism, there exists a unique  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  such that

$$\mathcal{M}(T; \mathcal{B}) = A$$

Note in particular, this means that

$$T e_j = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{k,j} e_k$$

We then have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle Tu, v \rangle &= \left\langle T \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_j e_j \right), v \right\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \langle T e_j, v \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^n A_{k,j} e_k, v \right\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n a_j A_{k,j} \langle e_k, v \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n a_j A_{k,j} \left\langle e_k, \sum_{l=1}^n b_l e_l \right\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n a_j b_l A_{k,j} \langle e_k e_l \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n a_j b_k A_{k,j} \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n a_j b_k L(e_j, e_k) = L(u, v)
 \end{aligned}$$

□

END OF LECTURE 41