Lecture 18

Taken from [10, Lecture 5] with small modifications.

We just showed that the $k^{th}$ eigenvector of a weighted path graph crosses the origin at most $k - 1$ times. For example, Figure 24 shows the second, third, and fourth eigenvectors of the path graph on 13 vertices. At the beginning of today we state another result of Fiedler which says that for every weighted graph $G$ the graph induced on the vertices that are non-negative in the $k^{th}$ eigenvector have at most $k - 1$ connected components. In particular, it says that the non-negative vertices of $\varphi_2$ are connected. This result generalizes our previous result on path graphs.

![First three non-constant eigenvectors of the path graph on 13 vertices, with a line drawn at the origin.]

Figure 24: First three non-constant eigenvectors of the path graph on 13 vertices, with a line drawn at the origin.

8.5 Fiedler’s Nodal Domain Theorem

Given a graph $G = (V, E, w)$ and a subset of vertices $U \subseteq V$, the graph induced by $G$ on $U$ is the graph $G(U)$ with vertex set $U$ and edge set:

$$\{(i,j) \in E : i,j \in U\}.$$  

**Theorem 8** (Fiedler, 1975). Let $G = (V, E, w)$ be a weighted connected graph, and let $L_G$ be its graph Laplacian matrix. Let $0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of $L_G$ and $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n$ be the corresponding eigenvectors. For any $k \geq 2$, let

$$W_k = \{i \in V : \varphi_k[i] \geq 0\}.$$  

Then the graph induced by $G$ on $W_k$, $G(W_k)$, has at most $k - 1$ connected components.

A simple example which also illustrates that the theorem is sharp is given by the star graph. In Figure 25 is the star graph $S_5$ and its second eigenvector $\varphi_2$ with eigenvalue $\lambda_2 = 1$.
Figure 25: Second eigenvector of $L_{S_5}$ with $\lambda_2 = 1$.

### 8.6 The second Laplacian eigenvalue

Recall that $\lambda_2 = 0$ if and only if a graph is disconnected. Fiedler observed that as $\lambda_2$ becomes further from 0, the graph becomes better connected. This statement of course needs to be clarified, and we shall now go about doing so.

Define the boundary of a set of vertices $S \subset V$ as:

$$\partial(S) = \{(i, j) \in E : i \in S, \ j \notin S\}.$$ 

We then have:

**Theorem 9.** Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and $L_G$ its graph Laplacian. Let $S \subset V$ and $\sigma = |S|/|V|$. Then:

$$|\partial(S)| \geq \lambda_2|S|(1 - \sigma).$$

**Proof.** Adapting the argument from Lecture 4 about computing PCA, note that for any symmetric matrix $M$ with minimum eigenvalue $\lambda_1$,

$$\lambda_1 = \min_{v \neq 0} \frac{v^T M v}{v^T v}.$$

If $\varphi_1$ is the eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda_1$, then we can compute $\lambda_2$ via:

$$\lambda_2 = \min_{v : v^T \varphi_1 = 0} \frac{v^T M v}{v^T v}.$$

Now when $M = L_G$ is a graph Laplacian, we know that $\lambda_1 = 0$ and $\varphi_1 = 1$. So for $\lambda_2$ we have:

$$\lambda_2 = \min_{v : v^T 1 = 0} \frac{v^T L_G v}{v^T v}.$$
and thus:

\[ v^T L_G v \geq \lambda_2 v^T v. \]  

To utilize (54) we need to construct a vector related to \( S \) that is also orthogonal to \( 1 \). A natural first guess is the vector \( \chi_s \), defined as:

\[ \chi_s[i] = \begin{cases} 1 & i \in S \\ 0 & i \notin S \end{cases} \]

But \( \chi_s \) is not orthogonal to \( 1 \). To fix this, consider:

\[ v_S = \chi_s - \sigma 1. \]

Now we have \( v_S^T 1 = 0 \), and

\[ v_S^T L_G v_S = (\chi_s - \sigma 1)^T L_G (\chi_s - \sigma 1) \]
\[ = \chi_s^T L_G \chi_s - \sigma 1^T L_G \chi_s - \sigma \chi_s L_G 1 - \sigma^2 1^T L_G 1 \]
\[ = \chi_s^T L_G \chi_s - \sigma (L_G 1)^T \chi_s - \sigma \chi_s L_G 1 - \sigma^2 1^T L_G 1 \]
\[ = \chi_s^T L_G \chi_s \]
\[ = \sum_{(i,j) \in E} (\chi_s[i] - \chi_s[j])^2 \]
\[ = |\partial(S)|. \]

To finish the proof, we compute:

\[ v_S^T v_S = (\chi_s - \sigma 1)^T (\chi_s - \sigma 1) \]
\[ = |S| - 2\sigma |S| + \sigma^2 |V| \]
\[ = |S| - 2\sigma |S| + \sigma^2 |S| + \sigma^2 |V| - \sigma^2 |S| \]
\[ = |S| - 2\sigma |S| + \sigma^2 |S| + \sigma \frac{|S|}{|V|} |V| - \sigma^2 |S| \]
\[ = |S| - 2\sigma |S| + \sigma^2 |S| + \sigma |S| - \sigma^2 |S| \]
\[ = |S| - \sigma |S| \]
\[ = (1 - \sigma) |S|. \]

\[ \square \]

This theorem says that if \( \lambda_2 \) is big, then \( G \) is very well connected: the boundary of every small set of vertices is at least \( \lambda_2 \) times something just slightly smaller than the number of vertices in the set.
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8.7 Cheeger’s Inequality

Taken from [10, Lecture 7] with small modifications.

We just proved for any \( S \subset V \) of a graph \( G = (V, E) \) that:

\[
|\partial(S)| \geq \lambda_2 |S|(1 - \sigma) \implies \lambda_2 \leq \frac{|\partial(S)|}{|S|(1 - \sigma)}.
\]

Cheeger’s inequality will provide a converse to this theorem.

8.7.1 Conductance

Different versions of Cheeger’s inequality are related to different measures of the quality of a cut. In Theorem 9, we were concerned with the number of edges cut divided by the number of vertices removed. The sharpest versions of Cheeger’s inequality hold when edges are treated as the most important objects, rather than vertices. That is, we weight vertices by their degrees. In this case, we will be interested in two measures of the quality of a cut, its conductance and its sparsity. These are closely related, and their names are often interchanged.

Let \( G = (V, E) \). Define the degree of a set of vertices \( S \subset V \) as the sum of the degrees \( d(i) \) for each vertex \( i \in S \):

\[
d(S) = \sum_{i \in S} d(i).
\]

Define the conductance of a set of vertices \( S \) by:

\[
\phi(S) = d(V) \frac{|\partial(S)|}{d(S)d(S)}, \tag{55}
\]

where \( \overline{S} \) is the complement of the vertices \( S \) in \( V \), that is:

\[
\overline{S} = V \setminus S.
\]

The constant \( d(V) \) out front of (55) just helps us normalize this quantity. Note that when all vertices have the same degree, so \( d(i) = d \) for all \( i \in V \), the conductance differs from the quantity measured in Theorem 9 precisely by a factor of \( d \); indeed, in this case:

\[
\phi(S) = d|V| \frac{|\partial(S)|}{d|S|d|\overline{S}|} = \frac{|V||\partial(S)|}{d|S|(|V| - |S|)} = \frac{1}{d} \frac{|\partial(S)|}{|S|(1 - \sigma)}.
\]
We define the sparsity of a set $S$ to be:

$$\text{sp}(S) = \frac{|\partial(S)|}{\min(d(S), d(S))}.$$ 

As one of $d(S)$ or $d(\overline{S})$ is always at least half of $d(V)$, we have:

$$\phi(S) \geq \text{sp}(S) \geq \frac{1}{2}\phi(S),$$

so these two quantities will never differ by more than a factor of 2.

The conductance of a graph is defined to be the minimum conductance of all possible cuts, and similarly for the sparsity, which write as (with a slight abuse of notation):

$$\phi(G) = \min_{S \subset V} \phi(S)$$

$$\text{sp}(G) = \min_{S \subset V} \text{sp}(S).$$

### 8.7.2 The normalized graph Laplacian

The conductance of a graph is best approximated through the eigenvalues of the normalized graph Laplacian, which we define now as $N_G$ where:

$$N_G = D^{-1/2}_G L_G D^{-1/2}_G = I - D^{-1/2}_G A_G D^{-1/2}_G.$$

We will denote the eigenvalues of $N_G$ by $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n$ to distinguish them from the eigenvalues of $L_G$. Note that is a simple exercise (based on our previous results) to show that for any graph $G$, $\gamma_1 = 0$ with eigenvector $d^{1/2}$, where

$$d^{1/2}[i] = \sqrt{d(i)},$$

and additionally $G$ is connected if and only if $\gamma_2 > 0$.

The following theorem is an analogue of Theorem 9, but for the normalized graph Laplacian and using the conductance of the graph $G$.

**Theorem 10.** Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with normalized graph Laplacian $N_G$, which as eigenvalues $0 = \gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2 \leq \cdots \leq \gamma_n$. Then

$$\phi(G) \geq \gamma_2.$$
Exercises

Exercise 27. From the exposition at the beginning of the proof of Theorem 9, we know that

\[ \gamma_2 = \min_{v : v^T d^{1/2} = 0} \frac{v^T N_G v}{v^T v}. \]

Prove that we can also compute \( \gamma_2 \) as:

\[ \gamma_2 = \min_{u : u^T d = 0} \frac{u^T L_G u}{u^T D_G u}. \]

Exercise 28. Using the previous exercise and the same proof technique of Theorem 9 (with appropriate modifications), prove Theorem 10. That is, prove for any \( S \subset V \) that

\[ \gamma_2 \leq \frac{d(V)|\partial(S)|}{d(S)d(S)}. \]
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